

solution typically does not exist and numerical estimates can be obtained by applying the Newton-Raphson algorithm. For example, by expanding $\mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ around the estimating equation estimate using a stochastic Taylor series expansion and ignoring the higher order terms, we have

$$\mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k+1)}) = \mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)}) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)}) \right)^\top (\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k+1)} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)})$$

By setting $\mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k+1)}) = 0$ and solving for $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k+1)}$, we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k+1)} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)} + \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)}) \right]^{-\top} \mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)}) \quad (2.87)$$

where $A^{-\top} = (A^{-1})^\top$ for a matrix A . Starting with some initial $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(0)}$, we use (2.87) to iterate until some convergence criterion is reached and the limit $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is the estimating equation estimate of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. The calculation of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ may be complicated in some cases. An alternative is to compute the estimate $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ using the following algorithm:

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k+1)} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_i V_i^{-1} D_i^\top \right)^{-1} \mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)}) \quad (2.88)$$

While this algorithm may converge at a slower rate, it avoids the calculation of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{w}_n$.

Example 8. Consider the distribution-free log-linear model specified by only the systematic component in Example 3. Let $V_i = \mu_i$. The estimating equation is given by

$$\mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i V_i^{-1} S_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_i) \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$$

It is readily checked (see exercise) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \left(\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \right)^\top = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \quad (2.89) \\ D_i V_i^{-1} D_i^\top &= \mu_i \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \left(\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \right)^\top = \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for this particular example, the two updating schemes (2.87) and (2.88) are identical.