

Note that when π_{it} is estimated by (5.97), the asymptotic variance in (5.94) is likely to underestimate the variability of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$. In Chapter 4, we discussed how to account for the variability of the estimate $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ in the model for $\pi_{it}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$. If $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ is obtained from GEE or maximum likelihood, we can readily find the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ adjusted for the variability of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$.

Consider a one-sample U-statistic vector with q arguments of the form $\mathbf{U}_n(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$, where $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is the parameter vector describing the missing data model as in the above example. It follows from the theory of multivariate statistics that

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{U}_n(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}) = q \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{r}_i, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) + \mathbf{o}_p(1) \quad (5.98)$$

As in Chapter 4, let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ be the solution to the estimating equation: $\mathbf{w}_n(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_{ni}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \mathbf{0}$, where \mathbf{w}_{ni} is the score (for maximum likelihood estimation) or score-like vector (for GEE estimation) for the i th subject ($1 \leq i \leq n$). By a Taylor series expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}) &= \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathbf{w}_n \right)^{-\top} \sqrt{n} \mathbf{w}_n + \mathbf{o}_p(1) \\ &= -H^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_{ni} + \mathbf{o}_p(1) \end{aligned} \quad (5.99)$$

where $H = E \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathbf{w}_{ni} \right)^\top$. It follows from (5.98) and (5.99) that (see exercise):

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\mathbf{U}_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \sqrt{n}[\mathbf{U}_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) - \mathbf{U}_n(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) + \mathbf{U}_n(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}] \\ &= \sqrt{n}(\mathbf{U}_n(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathbf{U}_n(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \right)^\top \sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}) + \mathbf{o}_p(1) \\ &= q \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{r}_i, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) - CH^{-1} \mathbf{w}_{ni} \right) + \mathbf{o}_p(1) \end{aligned} \quad (5.100)$$

where $C = E \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{r}_i, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \right)^\top$. By applying CLT to (5.100), we see that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is asymptotically normal with the asymptotic variance given by (see exercise):

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_\theta &= 4 \left[\text{Var} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{r}_i, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \right) + \Phi \right] \\ \Phi &= CH^{-1} \text{Var}(\mathbf{w}_{ni}) H^{-\top} C^\top - E \left(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{r}_i, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \mathbf{w}_{ni}^\top H^{-\top} C^\top \right) - \\ &\quad - \left[E \left(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{r}_i, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \mathbf{w}_{ni}^\top H^{-\top} C^\top \right) \right]^\top \end{aligned} \quad (5.101)$$