

In the presence of missing data, we can readily generalize the approach in Example 1 to accommodate the extra component for the mean response. Let  $R_{ij2} = r_{i2}r_{j2}\mathbf{I}_2$  and define the estimating equation as follows:

$$\mathbf{U}_n = \sum_{(i,j) \in C_2^n} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{ij2} \end{pmatrix} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(y_{i1} + y_{j1}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(y_{i1} - y_{j1})^2 \\ \frac{1}{2}(y_{i2} + y_{j2}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(y_{i2} - y_{j2})^2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \sigma_1^2 \\ \mu_2 \\ \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \mathbf{0} \quad (6.101)$$

As in Example 1, the estimating equation above is well defined. Further, it is readily checked that (6.101) yields the same estimates of  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  as those in Example 1 (see exercise). The estimates of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are given by (see exercise)

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{(i,j) \in C_2^n} y_{i1}, \quad \hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n r_{i2}} \sum_{i=1}^n r_{i2} y_{i2} \quad (6.102)$$

As in Example 1, it is readily shown that the estimate  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  obtained from (6.101) with  $\hat{\mu}_t$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_t^2$  given in (6.102) and (6.97) is consistent and asymptotically normal (see exercise).

**Example 3 (Product–moment correlation).** Consider again the product–moment correlation for longitudinal study data discussed in Chapter 5. Let  $\mathbf{z}_{it} = (x_{it}, y_{it})^\top$  denote the continuous bivariate outcome from the  $i$ th subject at time  $t$  from a longitudinal study with  $n$  subjects and  $m$  assessment times ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq m$ ). Let  $\rho_t = \text{Corr}(x_{it}, y_{it})$  denote the product–moment correlation between  $x_{it}$  and  $y_{it}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m)^\top$ . We discussed inference about  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  under both MCAR and MAR within the context of multivariate U-statistics in Chapter 5. Alternatively, we can also model  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  using an FRM.

Let

$$f_{kt}(\mathbf{z}_{it}, \mathbf{z}_{jt}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(x_{it} - x_{jt})(y_{it} - y_{jt}) & k = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(x_{it} - x_{jt})^2 & k = 2 \\ \frac{1}{2}(y_{it} - y_{jt})^2 & k = 3 \end{cases}, \quad h_{kt} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\sigma_{xt}^2 \sigma_{yt}^2} \rho_t & k = 1 \\ \sigma_{xt}^2 & k = 2 \\ \sigma_{yt}^2 & k = 3 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_t = (f_{1t}, f_{2t}, f_{3t})^\top, \quad \mathbf{h}_t = (h_{1t}, h_{2t}, h_{3t})^\top \quad (6.103)$$

Also, let

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_t = (\rho_t, \sigma_{xt}^2, \sigma_{yt}^2)^\top, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\theta}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\theta}_m)^\top$$

Define the FRM as follows:

$$E(\mathbf{f}_t(\mathbf{z}_{it}, \mathbf{z}_{jt})) = \mathbf{h}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad 1 \leq t \leq m \quad (6.104)$$

In the FRM model above,  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  is part of the model parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . In Chapter 5, we first employed multivariate U-statistics to model the covariance  $\sigma_{xyt} = \text{Cov}(x_{it}, y_{it})$  together with the variance components  $\sigma_{xt}^2$  and  $\sigma_{yt}^2$  and then obtained inference about  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  by applying the Delta method, as  $\rho_t$  is a function of these parameters. In contrast, the FRM in (6.104) directly models  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  as part of its parameters.

Now let

$$\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{f}_1^\top, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m^\top)^\top, \quad \mathbf{h} = (\mathbf{h}_1^\top, \dots, \mathbf{h}_m^\top)^\top, \quad \mathbf{z}_i = (\mathbf{z}_{i1}^\top, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{im}^\top)^\top$$

Then, by setting  $G = D = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{I}_{3 \times m}$ , the estimating equation for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  in the absence of missing data is given by

$$\mathbf{U}_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i,j \in C_2^n} DS_{ij} = \sum_{i,j \in C_2^n} [\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{z}_j) - \mathbf{h}] = \mathbf{0} \quad (6.105)$$

The above UGEE can be solved in closed form to obtain the estimate  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . In addition, it is readily checked that  $\widehat{\rho}_t$  are the Pearson correlation estimates and  $\widehat{\sigma}_{xt}^2$  and  $\widehat{\sigma}_{yt}^2$  are the sample variances of  $x_{it}$  and  $y_{it}$ , respectively (see exercise).

When there is missing data, let  $r_{it} = 1$  if  $\mathbf{z}_{it}$  is observed, that is, if both  $x_{it}$  and  $y_{it}$  are observed. Also, let

$$R_{ijt} = r_{it}r_{jt}I_3, \quad R_{ij} = \text{diag}_t(R_{ijt}) = \begin{pmatrix} R_{ij1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & R_{ijm} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

Define the estimating equation in the presence of missing data by

$$U_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{(i,j) \in C_2^n} R_{ij} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{z}_j) - \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta})) = \mathbf{0} \quad (6.106)$$

Like the estimating equations for Examples 1 and 2 discussed above, (6.106) is well defined. It is again readily checked that the estimate obtained as the

solution to (6.106) is  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\hat{\rho}_t, \hat{\sigma}_{xt}^2, \hat{\sigma}_{yt}^2)^\top$ , where  $\hat{\rho}_t$  is the Pearson correlation between  $x_{it}$  and  $y_{it}$ , while  $\hat{\sigma}_{xt}^2$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_{yt}^2$  are the respective sample variance estimates of  $x_{it}$  and  $y_{it}$  calculated based on the observed data (see exercises), that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_{xt}^2 &= \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i=1}^n r_{it} (x_{it} - \hat{\mu}_{xt})^2, & \hat{\mu}_{xt} &= \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i=1}^n r_{it} x_{it}, & 1 \leq t \leq m & \quad (6.107) \\ \hat{\sigma}_{yt}^2 &= \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i=1}^n r_{it} (y_{it} - \hat{\mu}_{yt})^2, & \hat{\mu}_{yt} &= \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i=1}^n r_{it} y_{it} \\ \hat{\rho}_t &= \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i=1}^n r_{it} \frac{(x_{it} - \hat{\mu}_{xt})(y_{it} - \hat{\mu}_{yt})}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{xt}^2 \hat{\sigma}_{yt}^2}}, & n_t &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r_{it}\end{aligned}$$

The consistency and asymptotic normality of the estimate  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  also follows from an argument similar to the one used in Example 2.

Note that in the missing data considerations above, we assumed that both  $x_{it}$  and  $y_{it}$  are either observed or missing together. As in the case of the multivariate U-statistics approach discussed in Chapter 5 for the product-moment correlation, we can relax this assumption and allow  $x_{it}$  and  $y_{it}$  to have different missing data patterns. The above considerations are readily generalized to this more general situation (see exercise).

**Example 4 (Linear mixed-effects model).** Consider the FRM defined by (6.86) and (6.87) in Example 9 of Section 6.3.2 for distribution-free inference of the linear mixed-effects model. Let  $\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{h}_i, S_i$ , and  $\mathbf{i}$  be defined in (6.88). As discussed in that example, the UGEE in (6.89) defines consistent estimates of the parameter vector of interest  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  under complete data. In the presence of missing data, this estimating equation can be applied to the subset of the data formed by the subjects with complete response  $y_{it}$  over all assessment times to provide valid inference about  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . However, as noted earlier, such an approach generally leads to less efficient estimates.

To define an appropriate estimating equation to take advantage of all available data, let  $r_{it} = 1$  if  $y_{it}$  is observed and 0 if otherwise. We assume no missing data at  $t = 1$  so that  $r_{i1} \equiv 1$ . Also, let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{r}_i &= (r_{i1}, r_{i2}, \dots, r_{im})^\top, & \mathbf{r}_{1ij} &= (r_{i1}r_{j1}, r_{i2}r_{j2}, \dots, r_{im}r_{jm})^\top & (6.108) \\ \mathbf{r}_{2ij} &= (r_{i1}r_{j1}, r_{i1}r_{j2}, \dots, r_{i1}r_{jm}, r_{i2}r_{j2}, \dots, r_{i(m-1)}r_{jm}, r_{im}r_{jm})^\top \\ \mathbf{r}_{ij} &= \left( \mathbf{r}_{1ij}^\top, \mathbf{r}_{2ij}^\top \right)^\top\end{aligned}$$