

where $F_{u,v}$ denotes an F distribution with the degrees of freedom u for the numerator and v for the denominator. This F distribution is widely used for inference about the general linear hypotheses.

When \mathbf{x}_i are concurrently sampled with y_i , we use the asymptotic distribution of the MLE for inference about the general linear hypothesis. The two most popular approaches for testing the null of linear hypothesis are the *Wald* and *likelihood ratio* tests.

If $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sim AN(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \frac{1}{n}\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$, then it follows from the properties of multivariate normal distribution that $K\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sim N(K\boldsymbol{\theta}, \frac{1}{n}K\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}K^{\top})$. Under the null, $K\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sim AN(\mathbf{b}, \frac{1}{n}K\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}K^{\top})$. By a slight abuse of notation, let Q_w^2 denote the *Wald* statistic obtained from (2.37) by replacing Σ_{β} with $\hat{\Sigma}_{\beta}$. It follows from Slutsky's theorem that Q_w^2 has an asymptotic χ_l^2 distribution for large n . Alternatively, we can use the *likelihood ratio test* (LRT) to examine the general linear hypothesis.

Let $L_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ denote the likelihood function and let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ the MLE of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0$ be the MLE of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ under the null H_0 in (2.36). Then, the *likelihood ratio* based statistic, $-2 \ln R(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0) = -2 \ln \left(\frac{L_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0)}{L_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})} \right)$, has an asymptotic χ_l^2 distribution under H_0 as asserted by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Under mild regularity assumptions,

$$-2 \ln R(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0) = -2 \ln \left(\frac{L_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0)}{L_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})} \right) \rightarrow_d \chi_l^2, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.38)$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u}_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial L_n}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}$. We prove (2.38) in three steps.

Case 1. First, assume $K = I_p$. In this case, the null in (2.36) reduces to $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{b}$. For notational brevity, we continue to use $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, but with the understanding that $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a known vector under H_0 .

By (2.23), we have

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{u}_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{u}_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}} \mathbf{u}_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) + o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

It follows that

$$(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta})^{\top} \mathbf{u}_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta})^{\top} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}} \mathbf{u}_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) + (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta})^{\top} o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$